

ARTICLE REVIEW TO MAPE CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS

REVIEWER

(title, academic degree, name and surname)

Private address (or the workplace)

(city, code, street, no.)

Article title:

Author (Authors):

The type and nature of paper: theoretical work, experimental, review
(emphasize appropriate or describe)

Specific comments (mark the correct answer)

No	Type of assessment	Evaluation		
		Yes	No	Difficult to assess
1.	Is the paper suitable for publication in the Journal?			
2.	Is the content relevant to the science or practice?			
3.	Is the title of the paper consistent with the content?			
4.	Is the title of the paper up to date?			
5.	Are the purpose (s), hypothesis (es), argument (s) clear?			
6.	Did the author clearly outlined his achievements?			
7.	Are the results original?			
8.	Have the results practical value?			
9.	Are the applied methods original?			
10.	Can the results or the methods be used by other researchers in this field?			
11.	Is the bibliography accurate and sufficient?			
12.	Is the language correct and terminology consistent with current standards (eg. SI units)?			
13.	Are the drawings and tables proper?			
14.	Does the summary (in Polish and English) cover well the content of the article?			

Conclusions (appropriate mark or underline):

- Is the paper suitable for printing:
 - In its present form and volume
 - After complying with editorial corrections
 - After complying with content-related corrections
 - After complete re-edition
 - After addition or expansion of research
- The paper is not suitable for printing
- Other comments post below (reason for the publication, etc.) or in the text of the paper

.....
(city, date)

.....
(reviewer signature)